Evaluation Report of the Degree Program by Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC) of FGS

Program/s:

Name of the coordinator of the Program:
Date of the application received by FGS:

Date received by IQAC-FGS

Date of the meeting held by IQAC-FGS:

Major details required

No.1.	Preliminary data	
1.1	**Name of the Degree program: as recommended by IQAC-FGS**	
1.2	**The Faculty which facilitated the PG program (may include approvals from IQAC of the relevant faculty and/or any other):** **1.**	
1.3	Whether the name of the degree is in accordance with the SLQF Designators indicated in Page 25 of SLQF published in 2016. (**For level 9 designators:** Master's degree designators are limited to **specific areas of study** ;Examples include **Master of** Information Technology, **Master of** Linguistics, **Master of Library Science** etc. **For Level 10 designators:** Master's degree designators are specific **and limited to broad generic areas** of discipline or profession. **Master of Science**, Master of Arts, Master of Commerce, Master of Education, Master of Business Administration, etc.)	
1.4	**Targeted SLQF levels of the program/s:**	
1.5	**Abbreviated Qualification:**	
1.6	**The program is offered by:**	
1.7	**Admission process/ Selection criteria: (By interview or by admission test etc):**	
1.8	**Duration of the study program (indicate whether exit point available at level 9):**	
1.9	**Medium of Instruction**	**English (section 3.8 Page7)**
2.0	**Preliminary surveys**	**Comments/Recommendations**
2.1	Background of the pilot program:	
2.2	Justification	
2.3	Whether a Pilot needs survey was done	

2.4	Whether links are available with the industrial sector to find out the program is oriented for their needs.	
2.5	Availability of Graduate profile and Graduate employment profiles for the students to whom this course is designed.	
3.0	**Course Curriculum & Reviewing Process**	
3.1	Whether a concept paper for the program got the required approvals (BoS, Faculty Board, and Senate)	
3.2	Whether the application for the program prepared according to the UGC format?	
3.3	Nomination of Curriculum Development Review Committees by Senate approval	
3.4	List of reviewers (Internal & External)	
3.5	Documents relevant to the reviewing process of the program	
3.6	Whether the curriculum for the program was reviewed and got the required approvals	
3.7	Additional comments if any	
4.0	**Program details**	
4.1	Program objectives	
4.2	Program Learning Outcomes (PLO)	
4.3	PLOs mapped to SLQF Learning Outcomes	
4.4	Program structure for the entire program for each semester	
4.5	Module learning Outcomes (MLO)	
4.6	Whether the Credit values and weights are according to the Quality Assurance Framework	
4.7	Availability of Module details according to the UGC formats.	
4.8	List of Resource persons for the module descriptor Development with their qualifications	
4.9	Program budget	
4.10	Additional comments if any	
5. Other details		
5.1	Eligibility Requirements for Admission	
5.2	Interview process	
5.3	Details on the selection process	
5.4	Proposed number of student intake	
5.5	Breake even point of for this program according to the budget	
5.6	Details on research component when relevant	
5.7	Details on assessment methods	
5.8	Assesment Method	
5.9	Awarding criteria	
5.10	Details on repeat examinations	
5.11	Criteria for releasing results	
5.12	Details on the exit point	
5.13	Appealing process	
5.14	Bylaws of the program	
5.15	Any additional details	

Comments/ Recommendations

	Relevant Unit	Comments after the screening process by different units
1.	IQAC- FGS	**Recommendation/comments of IQAC-FGS** (To be forwarded to BoS Chairman through Dean, FGS) ……………….. Senior Prof. Swarna Piyasiri Name & signature of the Chairman, of the IQAC Date: 04.04.2024
2.	BoS	**Recommendations/Comments of BoS Chairman** (To be forwarded to Dean FGS for FGS Faculty Board recommendation) …………………… Name & signature of the Chairman, BoS Date:
3.	Director CQA	**Recommendation/ Comments of Director CQA** (To be forwarded to Dean FGS to get the Senate approval after filling the gaps if any recomeded by the CQA Director to the program coordinator) ……………………… Director CQA Date:
4	Dean, FGS	Board paper to the Senate for approval ………………………… Dean, FGS Date: